



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,827	08/06/2003	Manfred Schudok	2481.1762-01	6761
5487	7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSS J. OEHLER AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC.			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
ROUTE 202-206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL CODE: D303A BRIDGEWATER NI 08807			1624	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comm	10/634,827	SCHUDOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	o				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,827

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-9, drawn to compound of formula I wherein in formula II, both X¹ and X² are nitrogen, namely pyridazine, composition and method of use, classified in class 544, subclasses 224, 239, class 514 subclass 247.

II. Claims 1-9, drawn to compound of formula I wherein in formula II, either X¹ or X² is nitrogen the other carbon namely isomeric pyridine, classified in class 546, subclass 297, class 514, subclass 313.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of group I and II are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to dissimilar compounds with varying cores such pyridazine vs isomeric pyridine cores. Consequently, the groups have different classifications and require separate prior art searches. Art, which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the same for the other group as evident from the references cited in the Information Disclosure Statement. Particularly, note Hall et al., which is competent prior art for benzenoid core is not applicable to Invention I and II unless applicants asserts equivalency of the above said ring systems. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group.

In addition, it would be serious search burden to search all class and subclasses stated above. Additional search of other class and subclasses will be needed given the fact that B can also have Het substituents. With limited time available for each application, it would be serious search burden for examining each core group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species of each group are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/634,827

Art Unit: 1624

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-0674. If Applicants are unable to

reach Mukund Shah within 24-hour period, they may contact James O. Wilson, Acting-

SPE of art unit 1624 at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1600.

Venkertaraman Balasubramanian
Venkataraman Balasubramanian

12/21/2004